

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

**JOHN CORMIER, individually  
and on behalf of all others similarly situated**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**EXPRO AMERICAS, LLC**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COLLECTIVE ACTION  
PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)**

**COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT**

**I. SUMMARY**

1. John Cormier (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this collective action to recover unpaid overtime wages and other damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) against Expro Americas, LLC (“Expro” or “Defendant”). *See* 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff and the other workers like him typically worked in excess of forty hours a week but were not paid overtime compensation. Instead of paying overtime as required by the FLSA, Defendant paid these workers a guaranteed shop rate and a day rate for time spent in the field and/or offshore. This collective action seeks to recover the unpaid overtime wages and other damages owed to these workers.

**II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action involves a federal question under the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

4. Defendant conducts substantial business operations throughout the United States

and the Gulf of Mexico.

### III. THE PARTIES

5. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Well Tester during the relevant statutory time period. Throughout his employment with EXPRO, Plaintiff was paid a guaranteed shop rate and a day rate for time spent in the field and/or offshore. Plaintiff was not paid overtime compensation. His consent to be a party plaintiff is attached as Exhibit A.

6. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated workers who were improperly paid for the hours that they worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek. The class of similarly situated employees ("Putative Class Members") consists of:

**ALL CURRENT AND FORMER EMPLOYEES OF EXPRO  
EMPLOYED DURING THE PAST THREE (3) YEARS WHO  
RECEIVED A SHOP RATE AND A DAY RATE FOR TIME  
SPENT IN THE FIELD AND/OR OFFSHORE.**

7. Defendant may be served by serving its registered agent(s) for service of process, Corporation Service Company DBA CSC-LAWYERS INCORP., 211 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.

### IV. COVERAGE UNDER THE FLSA

8. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an employer within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

9. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been part of an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

10. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been part of an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has and has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling,

selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and in that said enterprise has had and has an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

11. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

## **V. FACTS**

12. Expro provides well testing services throughout the United States and the Gulf of Mexico.

13. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members worked for Expro as Well Testers. As Well Testers, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were paid a guaranteed shop rate and a day rate for time spent in the field and/or offshore. While the exact job titles and job duties may differ, these employees are subjected to the same or similar illegal pay practices for similar work.

14. The daily and weekly activities of the Putative Class Members were routine and largely governed by standardized plans, procedures, and checklists created by Defendant and/or the operator Defendant contracted with. Virtually every job function was pre-determined by Defendant and/or the operator Defendant contracted with, including the tools to use at a job site, the data to compile, the schedule of work, and related work duties. The Putative Class Members were prohibited from varying their job duties outside of the pre-determined parameters. Moreover, the job functions of the Putative Class Members were primarily manual labor/technical in nature, requiring little to no official training, much less a college education or other advanced degree. The Putative Class Members did not have any supervisory or

management duties. Finally, for the purposes of an FLSA overtime claim, the Putative Class Members performed substantially similar job duties related to well testing.

15. The Putative Class Members also worked similar hours and were denied overtime as a result of the same illegal pay practice. The Putative Class Members were generally scheduled to work substantially more than 40 hours a week. Instead of paying them overtime, Defendant paid the Putative Class Members a guaranteed shop rate and a day rate for time spent in the field and/or offshore. Defendant denied the Putative Class Members overtime for any and all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single workweek. As the controlling law makes clear, the manual labor/technical duties which were performed by the Putative Class Members consist of *non-exempt* work. Therefore, Defendant owes back overtime wages to the Putative Class Members, all of whom work long hours each workweek well in excess of forty (40) hours.

## **VI. FLSA VIOLATIONS**

16. As set forth herein, Defendant has violated, and is violating, Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by employing employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours without compensating such employees for their employment in excess of forty (40) hours per week at rates no less than 1 and ½ times the regular rates for which they were employed.

17. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard carried out this illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay the Putative Class Members overtime compensation. Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation to these employees was neither reasonable, nor was the decision not to pay overtime made in good faith. Accordingly, Plaintiff and all those

who are similarly situated are entitled to overtime wages under the FLSA in an amount equal to 1 and ½ times their rate of pay, plus liquidated damages, attorney's fees and costs.

## **VII. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

18. Numerous employees have been victimized by this pattern, practice, and policy which are in willful violation of the FLSA. Many of these employees have worked with Plaintiff and have reported that they were paid in the same manner and were not properly compensated for all hours worked as required by the FLSA. Thus, from his observations and discussions with these employees, Plaintiff is aware that the illegal practices or policies of Defendant have been imposed on the Putative Class Members.

19. The Putative Class Members all received a guaranteed shop rate and a day rate for time spent in the field and/or offshore, regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week, and were not paid overtime compensation. These employees are victims of Defendant's unlawful compensation practices and are similarly situated to Plaintiff in terms of relevant job duties, pay provisions, and employment practices.

20. Defendant's failure to pay wages and overtime compensation at the rates required by the FLSA result from generally applicable, systematic policies and practices which are not dependent on the personal circumstances of the Putative Class Members. Thus, Plaintiff's experiences are typical of the experiences of the Putative Class Members.

21. The specific job titles or precise job locations of the various Putative Class Members does not prevent collective treatment. All of the Putative Class Members, regardless of their precise job requirements or rates of pay, are entitled to be properly compensated for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week. Although the issue of damages may be individual in character, there is no detracting from the common nucleus of liability facts.

**VIII. JURY DEMAND**

22. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

**IX. RELIEF SOUGHT**

23. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- a. For an Order pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Defendant liable for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit) and for liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid compensation found due to Plaintiff;
- b. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit) the costs of this action;
- c. For an Order awarding Plaintiffs (and those who have joined in the suit) their attorneys' fees;
- d. For an Order awarding Plaintiff unpaid benefits and compensation in connection with the FLSA violations;
- e. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who have joined in the suit) pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest rates allowed by law;
- f. For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael A. Josephson

Michael A. Josephson

Fed. Id. 27157

Texas Bar No. 24014780

Andrew Dunlap

Fed Id. 1093163

Texas Bar No. 24078444

Lindsay R. Itkin

Fed Id. 1458866

Texas Bar No. 24068647

**FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND**

**BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON**

1150 Bissonnet St.

Houston, Texas 77005

Tel: (713) 751-0025

Fax: (713) 751-0030

mjosephson@fibichlaw.com

adunlap@fibichlaw.com

litkin@fibichlaw.com

AND

Richard J. (Rex) Burch

Texas Bar No. 24001807

Fed. Id. 21615

**BRUCKNER BURCH, P.L.L.C.**

8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500

Houston, Texas 77046

713-877-8788 – Telephone

713-877-8065 – Facsimile

rburch@brucknerburch.com

**ATTORNEYS IN CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFF**